IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

R.F.A. No.321 of 1983
Date of Decision:29.08.2007

Nazam Singh and others

.....Appellants

Vs.

Gurdial Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present:- None.

****

On 10.9.2003, noticing the fact that the counsel for the appellants had expired long back, fresh notices were issued to the parties for 3.12.2003. In order dated 6.10.2004, it is noticed that appellants No.1, 5 and 6 have been served and appellants No.2, 3 and 4 were not found to be residing at the given address. Despite service, no one had appeared even for the served appellants on this date.

As per office report, fresh notices issued to the unserved appellants No.2, 3 and 4 have also been reported to be served but still no one appeared on behalf of the them.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

August 29, 2007 **(RAJESH BINDAL)**
renu **JUDGE**